

Inference for Categorical Variables

effect modification vs confounding

if we don't take effect modification into account, we get an over-generalized estimate of the relationship between the outcome and the exposure for the entire cohort

Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test

- limitations
 - can only adjust for one variable at a time

looks at two binary categorical variables while adjusting for the value of a third categorical variable

Parametric One-Sample Inference of Categorical Variables

- one-sample proportion test
 - do NOT use Yate's continuity, so specify:
 - `prop.test(..., correct = FALSE)`
- χ^2 goodness of fit test
 - to ensure sufficient sample size: $n \cdot p_{i0} > 5$
 - don't use continuity corrections!
 - `chisq.test(..., correct = FALSE)`

NOTE: one-sample single proportion test gives a 95% CI - χ^2 does not!

Types of Probabilities

Joint, Marginal and Conditional Probabilities

- QI
 - [SQUIRE 2.0 for QI Reporting](#)
 - Stepped-wedge trial
 - [Link](#)
- Linear regression
 - [Q-Q plot](#)
 - plot of residuals
 - [Cook's Distance](#)
 - these are different!
 - correlative
 - descriptive
 - predictive
 - associative
- confounding vs. effect modification

to assess a paired difference

- create histogram
- plot as box plot

- make [Q-Q plot](#)

From:

<https://ewrobbins.com/> - **ewrobbins.com**

Permanent link:

https://ewrobbins.com/doku.php?id=duke_notes&rev=1763412701

Last update: **2025/11/17 20:51**

